



\*\*\*\*\*  
**LIVED EXPERIENCES OF TEACHERS IN THE INTEGRATION  
OF MULTIMEDIA IN TEACHING: BASIS FOR  
IN-SERVICE TRAINING**

**EDLYN B. SACLOTE**

**TEACHER III**

Lambuyao Elementary School

edlynbalinas7@gmail.com

**ABSTRACT**

This qualitative-phenomenological study explored the lived experiences of teachers in the integration of multimedia in teaching as a basis for In-Service Training in the Schools District of Oton for the school year 2025–2026. It revealed four major aspects of experiences of elementary teachers in integrating multimedia in teaching, which makes teaching more engaging and learner-centered, supports understanding of concepts and improves retention, helps address diverse learning styles and accessibility, and teachers feel confident, fulfilled, and motivated – but mindful of technical risks. While there were four emerged on the challenges experienced by elementary teachers in integrating multimedia in teaching infrastructure and technical disruptions (internet, power, equipment), limited technical expertise and troubleshooting demands, time management and preparation burden, and resource constraints and access issues. Further, coping strategies applied by teachers on the challenges experienced in integrating multimedia in teaching are preparedness through backup plans and alternative activities, advance preparation, testing, and downloading

\*\*\*\*\*

**Editorial Team**

**Editor-in-Chief:** Alvin B. Punongbayan

**Associate Editor:** Andro M. Bautista

**Managing Editor:** Raymart O. Basco

**Web Editor:** Nikko C. Panotes

**Manuscript Editors / Reviewers:**

Chin Wen Cong, Christopher DC. Francisco, Camille P. Alicaway, Pinky Jane A. Perez,  
Mary Jane B. Custodio, Irene H. Andino, Mark-Jhon R. Prestoza, Ma. Rhoda E. Panganiban, Rjay C. Calaguas,  
Mario A. Cudiamat, Jesson L. Hero, Albert Bulawat, Cris T. Zita, Allan M. Manaloto, Jerico N. Mendoza

\*\*\*\*\*



\*\*\*\*\*  
resources, skill building, collaboration, and continuous learning, aligning multimedia with objectives and keeping it simple.

## INTRODUCTION

In most countries, including the Philippines, due to governmental policies, primary school instructors must incorporate the use of information and communication technologies (ICTs) into the learning process, which subsequently builds students' critical analysis, ICT, collaborative, and creative competencies. To promote the use of ICTs in education, the Department of Education (DepEd) in the Philippines, along with the ICT4E Strategic Plan and the DCP (DepEd Computerization Program), has attempted to transform classrooms through updated teaching and learning materials and the training of teachers. However, the actual use of ICTs in education is still deficient, primarily due to inadequate connectivity and maintenance, and unsatisfactory teaching techniques (DepEd Bataan—Alboro, 2024).

One of the elements of modern education is multimedia. The use of multimedia in elementary school classrooms is most important as it provides teachers with the opportunity to demonstrate concepts with multiple and varied representations, which is beneficial for student understanding and interest. Mayer's Cognitive Theory of Multimedia Learning (CTML) states that the use of high-quality multimedia in learning helps students understand a concept by guiding students to pay attention to specific information (elements) by using visual and verbal representations, and by subdividing the information into several portions. On the

\*\*\*\*\*

### Editorial Team

**Editor-in-Chief:** Alvin B. Punongbayan

**Associate Editor:** Andro M. Bautista

**Managing Editor:** Raymart O. Basco

**Web Editor:** Nikko C. Panotes

### Manuscript Editors / Reviewers:

Chin Wen Cong, Christopher DC. Francisco, Camille P. Alicaway, Pinky Jane A. Perez,  
Mary Jane B. Custodio, Irene H. Andino, Mark-Jhon R. Prestoza, Ma. Rhoda E. Panganiban, Rjay C. Calaguas,  
Mario A. Cudiamat, Jesson L. Hero, Albert Bulawat, Cris T. Zita, Allan M. Manaloto, Jerico N. Mendoza

\*\*\*\*\*



\*\*\*\*\*

contrary, the absence of high-quality multimedia and improper use may cause students' working memory to become overloaded (Mayer, 2021).

Globally, studies indicate that for technology integration to be relevant and purposeful, teachers must possess an integrated understanding of technology, pedagogy, and content. The TPACK (Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge) framework is a model that represents the overlap between technology, pedagogy, and content in teaching, wherein teaching with technology is most effective when all three areas are integrated. The presence of technology in a classroom may be irrelevant if effective teaching strategies are not employed (Voogt et al, 2021).

In elementary settings, qualitative studies add that teachers often require clearer curricula, longer-term professional development, and practice communities to understand technology's roles and limitations (Pappa, Georgiou, & Pittich, 2024). Contemporary reviews also differentiate first-order (infrastructure, support, and training) and second-order (beliefs, confidence, and attitudes) barriers. Both types can halt sustained technology use unless addressed simultaneously through ongoing professional development (PD) that incorporates active learning and follow-up in the classroom (Bećirović, 2024; Siyam et al., 2025). Recently, some studies in the Philippines have started measuring readiness and usage patterns. A quantitative correlational study in Laguna, for instance, found elementary teachers with strong pedagogical and content knowledge, but relatively weak technology knowledge and a tendency to use only standard digital tools. This reinforces the need for focused training and the provision of a variety of tools (Mane, 2025). These findings indicate an urgent need to

\*\*\*\*\*

### **Editorial Team**

**Editor-in-Chief:** Alvin B. Punongbayan

**Associate Editor:** Andro M. Bautista

**Managing Editor:** Raymart O. Basco

**Web Editor:** Nikko C. Panotes

### **Manuscript Editors / Reviewers:**

Chin Wen Cong, Christopher DC. Francisco, Camille P. Alicaway, Pinky Jane A. Perez,  
Mary Jane B. Custodio, Irene H. Andino, Mark-Jhon R. Prestoza, Ma. Rhoda E. Panganiban, Rjay C. Calaguas,  
Mario A. Cudiamat, Jesson L. Hero, Albert Bulawat, Cris T. Zita, Allan M. Manaloto, Jerico N. Mendoza

\*\*\*\*\*



\*\*\*\*\*  
understand the challenges and opportunities faced by elementary teachers through qualitative research and to implement the findings through targeted in-service training (INSET) models. This study focused on bridging policy and practice by aligning system-level goals with professional learning that meets teachers' everyday realities while enhancing students' multimedia experiences in the classroom (DepEd Bataan-Alboro, 2024). This study meets this need by providing an empirical foundation for INSET that is based on teachers' perceptions and profile characteristics. (Rogador & Bola, 2025; Pappa et al., 2024).

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Research Methodology

This chapter presents the research method, research design, participants of the study, data-gathering procedures, research instrument, and data analysis to be used in this study. The purpose of this study was to explore the challenges and opportunities in technology-integrated teaching experienced by elementary educators as a basis for an In-Service Training in the Schools District of Oton during the school year 2025-2026.

### Research Method

The research method utilized in this study was a descriptive method under qualitative research using in-depth interviews.

The descriptive research method focuses on systematically describing a phenomenon as it exists in its natural setting, without manipulating variables. According to Elliott (2025), it aimed to provide an accurate portrayal of current conditions, practices, or relationships within

\*\*\*\*\*

### Editorial Team

**Editor-in-Chief:** Alvin B. Punongbayan

**Associate Editor:** Andro M. Bautista

**Managing Editor:** Raymart O. Basco

**Web Editor:** Nikko C. Panotes

### Manuscript Editors / Reviewers:

Chin Wen Cong, Christopher DC. Francisco, Camille P. Alicaway, Pinky Jane A. Perez,  
Mary Jane B. Custodio, Irene H. Andino, Mark-Jhon R. Prestoza, Ma. Rhoda E. Panganiban, Rjay C. Calaguas,  
Mario A. Cudiamat, Jesson L. Hero, Albert Bulawat, Cris T. Zita, Allan M. Manaloto, Jerico N. Mendoza

\*\*\*\*\*



\*\*\*\*\*

educational settings, enabling researchers to understand trends, patterns, and implications for practice. This approach was particularly useful in educational studies that seek to document and analyze real-world events, behaviors, or perceptions without altering the environment in which they occur (Elliott, 2025).

## Research Design

The study used a phenomenological research design. Phenomenology can be considered a philosophical approach to undertaking qualitative research. The goal of phenomenology was to understand how others view the world, and how this view may vary from commonly held views, by focusing on a person's subjective interpretations of what she experiences. Phenomenology was done by interviewing the subjects to learn their impressions, and was frequently used in such fields as psychology, sociology, and social work.

Phenomenology focuses on the study of structures of consciousness as experienced from a first-person perspective. The central aim of phenomenology was to investigate and describe phenomena as they are consciously experienced, without resorting to theories about their causal explanations or being influenced by unexamined preconceptions (Biemel and Spiegelberg, 2024).

## Participants of the Study

The participants of this study were ten purposefully chosen teachers from public elementary schools in the Schools District of Oton, Division of Iloilo. These teachers were selected because of their firsthand experiences of multimedia tool integration (i.e., videos, animations, presentations, and interactive digital materials) into their classroom teaching,

\*\*\*\*\*

### Editorial Team

**Editor-in-Chief:** Alvin B. Punongbayan

**Associate Editor:** Andro M. Bautista

**Managing Editor:** Raymart O. Basco

**Web Editor:** Nikko C. Panotes

### Manuscript Editors / Reviewers:

Chin Wen Cong, Christopher DC. Francisco, Camille P. Alicaway, Pinky Jane A. Perez,  
Mary Jane B. Custodio, Irene H. Andino, Mark-Jhon R. Prestoza, Ma. Rhoda E. Panganiban, Rjay C. Calaguas,  
Mario A. Cudiamat, Jesson L. Hero, Albert Bulawat, Cris T. Zita, Allan M. Manaloto, Jerico N. Mendoza

\*\*\*\*\*



\*\*\*\*\*  
making them rich information cases for phenomenological study. Teachers were required to be teaching levels 1–6, have a minimum of two years in the teaching profession, have experience in the use of multimedia for teaching, and be interested in undertaking extensive interviews. Given the phenomenology method, teachers who are or were administrators were excluded, in keeping with phenomenological research, which favors quality of experience over quantity (Saldaña, 2021; Kara, 2022).

A sample of ten participants is appropriate, as phenomenological research is generally deemed to be complete with between 5 and 15 subjects, as this is the range that allows for sufficient depth to be exhausted in detail until saturation is reached (Saldaña, 2021; Flick, 2022). The teachers selected offered diverse grades, experience, and use of multimedia teaching, which encapsulated the variety of experiences of how different levels of integration of multimedia resources in elementary teaching. This also guarantees that the findings will reflect the actual executive classroom realities and will be useful in constructing a context-responsive in-service training (INSET) program based on the teachers' experiences.

### Sampling Design

The study employed a purposive sampling design. As noted by Nikolopoulou (2023), purposive sampling is a particular form of non-probability sampling whereby the units are chosen based on the specific characteristics that are needed for the study. In other words, specific units are chosen “on purpose” in purposive sampling. This type of sampling is also known as judgmental sampling. The sampling method is based on the researcher’s discretion

\*\*\*\*\*

#### Editorial Team

**Editor-in-Chief:** Alvin B. Punongbayan

**Associate Editor:** Andro M. Bautista

**Managing Editor:** Raymart O. Basco

**Web Editor:** Nikko C. Panotes

#### Manuscript Editors / Reviewers:

Chin Wen Cong, Christopher DC. Francisco, Camille P. Alicaway, Pinky Jane A. Perez,  
Mary Jane B. Custodio, Irene H. Andino, Mark-Jhon R. Prestoza, Ma. Rhoda E. Panganiban, Rjay C. Calaguas,  
Mario A. Cudiamat, Jesson L. Hero, Albert Bulawat, Cris T. Zita, Allan M. Manaloto, Jerico N. Mendoza

\*\*\*\*\*



\*\*\*\*\*

when identifying and selecting the most relevant persons, cases, or occurrences that will best inform the study.

## Research Instrument

The study's research instrument was an interview schedule that was constructed by the researcher. In research methodology, an interview schedule is defined as a written set of specific questions (which can be closed, partially closed, or open) that is meant to direct an interviewer to obtain information from the respondents in a uniform manner. This is also meant to provide consistency as a tool for information collection, whereby all the respondents would be asked the same questions on the same subject matter, thereby making it easier to analyze and compare. During the interview, be it face-to-face, via telephone, or online, the interviewer was expected to adhere strictly to the schedule and to take note of the answers given by the interviewees (Socio.health, 2024).

The interview schedule was designed to obtain the respondents' experiences, problems, and prospects in the teaching of technology-integrated instruction in elementary education.

Depending on the consent of the respondents, the researcher also used voice and video recorders to collect and document data.

## Validity of the Research Instrument

Before estimates of the validity of the interview schedule could be assessed, the researcher, the adviser, the Dean of the Graduate School, and a panel of jurors, who were

\*\*\*\*\*

### Editorial Team

**Editor-in-Chief:** Alvin B. Punongbayan

**Associate Editor:** Andro M. Bautista

**Managing Editor:** Raymart O. Basco

**Web Editor:** Nikko C. Panotes

### Manuscript Editors / Reviewers:

Chin Wen Cong, Christopher DC. Francisco, Camille P. Alicaway, Pinky Jane A. Perez,  
Mary Jane B. Custodio, Irene H. Andino, Mark-Jhon R. Prestoza, Ma. Rhoda E. Panganiban, Rjay C. Calaguas,  
Mario A. Cudiamat, Jesson L. Hero, Albert Bulawat, Cris T. Zita, Allan M. Manaloto, Jerico N. Mendoza

\*\*\*\*\*



\*\*\*\*\*

asked for their expertise in the fields of research, testing, and assessment, and English, were consulted to validate each question and to review and make modifications.

Validity is the most significant consideration to be made for an instrument. It means that the findings, interpretations, and conclusions of a study should be true, reasonable, and appropriate to answer the question(s) posed, and should represent the reality of the situation that is being investigated. It is to make sure that the research instrument measures what is intended to be measured and that the instrument measures the findings accurately. When a researcher wants to establish content validity, the questions and the arrangement of the instrument should correspond to the defined variables and the aims of the study. This is to ensure that every question is a true reflection of the construct that is being investigated. In most cases, this requires expert judgment, for he has to determine if the questions are relevant and if they clearly represent the constructs being investigated. If researchers are able to produce an instrument that is relevant to the study and to the objectives of the study, they are able to give their research the very most useful and the very most accurate results of the data that is collected (Creswell & Creswell, 2022).

With regards to the interview schedule, comments, corrections, and suggestions of the panel of validators were considered using the appropriate form of Good and Scates (1972) as cited by Soqueña (2021).

### Data Gathering Procedures

Permits from the adviser, Dean of the Graduate School, Office of the Schools Division Superintendent, Office of the District Supervisors, School Heads, and individual participants

\*\*\*\*\*

#### Editorial Team

**Editor-in-Chief:** Alvin B. Punongbayan

**Associate Editor:** Andro M. Bautista

**Managing Editor:** Raymart O. Basco

**Web Editor:** Nikko C. Panotes

#### Manuscript Editors / Reviewers:

Chin Wen Cong, Christopher DC. Francisco, Camille P. Alicaway, Pinky Jane A. Perez,  
Mary Jane B. Custodio, Irene H. Andino, Mark-Jhon R. Prestoza, Ma. Rhoda E. Panganiban, Rjay C. Calaguas,  
Mario A. Cudiamat, Jesson L. Hero, Albert Bulawat, Cris T. Zita, Allan M. Manaloto, Jerico N. Mendoza

\*\*\*\*\*



\*\*\*\*\*

were obtained to allow the researcher to conduct the study. The researcher personally goes to the school/community/ convenient place for the participants to conduct the interview.

The researcher encouraged the participants to sign a waiver or permission relative to the conduct of the study.

Using in-depth interviews, a voice and video recorder was also provided to completely capture the interviewee's words. The researcher consolidates all collected data after a series of interviews.

### Data Analyses

The data were collected through the interview schedule that were analyzed using thematic analysis, a qualitative method designed to identify, interpret, and report recurring patterns or themes within narrative data. This approach enables the researcher to uncover meaningful insights into the responses of the participants on the challenges and opportunities in using technology-integrated teaching.

Thematic analysis has been described by Braun and Clarke (2023) as flexible and robust. It allows researchers to analyze qualitative data to understand meanings, both implicit and explicit, in participant accounts. It offers researchers an invaluable resource in educational studies and research that provides insight into the complicated social and organizational frameworks at play. Additionally, Braun and Clarke (2023) state that systematic coding and analysis of the data help assess the quality of the analysis and study, and thematic analysis, therefore, increases qualitative studies' analysis and research. The transcribed interviews were

\*\*\*\*\*

### Editorial Team

**Editor-in-Chief:** Alvin B. Punongbayan

**Associate Editor:** Andro M. Bautista

**Managing Editor:** Raymart O. Basco

**Web Editor:** Nikko C. Panotes

### Manuscript Editors / Reviewers:

Chin Wen Cong, Christopher DC. Francisco, Camille P. Alicaway, Pinky Jane A. Perez,  
Mary Jane B. Custodio, Irene H. Andino, Mark-Jhon R. Prestoza, Ma. Rhoda E. Panganiban, Rjay C. Calaguas,  
Mario A. Cudiamat, Jesson L. Hero, Albert Bulawat, Cris T. Zita, Allan M. Manaloto, Jerico N. Mendoza

\*\*\*\*\*



\*\*\*\*\*

analyzed using thematic analysis (Braun and Clarke, 2023) as it is suitable for identifying, analyzing, and reporting qualitative data.

The analysis was carried out using the six standard phases of Braun and Clarke's (2023) analysis and is detailed as follows:

(1) Familiarization with Data: Reading and re-reading the transcripts (in local language and English translation).

2. Generating Initial Codes: Assigning short phrases or labels to meaningful segments of data (e.g., "shared phone," "fear of judgment," "poor signal").

3. Searching for Themes: Organizing the initial codes into possible central themes, as well as sub-themes, that reflect the most meaningful trends (e.g., codes such as 'no insults' and 'private correction' will be clustered under a theme).

4. Reviewing Themes: Refining and checking the themes against the entire dataset to ensure they accurately reflected the participants' meanings and the study's focus.

5. Defining and Naming Themes: Developing clear, concise, and academically sound names for the final emergent themes (will be presented in Chapter 4).

6. Producing the Report: Weaving the themes, supported by direct quotes, into the narrative structure of the presentation, analysis, and interpretation of data (Chapter 4), and linking them to the theoretical framework.

\*\*\*\*\*

### Editorial Team

**Editor-in-Chief:** Alvin B. Punongbayan

**Associate Editor:** Andro M. Bautista

**Managing Editor:** Raymart O. Basco

**Web Editor:** Nikko C. Panotes

### Manuscript Editors / Reviewers:

Chin Wen Cong, Christopher DC. Francisco, Camille P. Alicaway, Pinky Jane A. Perez,  
Mary Jane B. Custodio, Irene H. Andino, Mark-Jhon R. Prestoza, Ma. Rhoda E. Panganiban, Rjay C. Calaguas,  
Mario A. Cudiamat, Jesson L. Hero, Albert Bulawat, Cris T. Zita, Allan M. Manaloto, Jerico N. Mendoza

\*\*\*\*\*



\*\*\*\*\*

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The research examined the use of multimedia by elementary teachers in the School District of Oton. This is aligned with the DepEd Computerization Program and ssICT4E Strategic Plan to assist the modernization of the Philippine education system. Using a qualitative phenomenological approach, the research studied teachers' real experiences along with their feelings, reflections, obstacles, and pedagogical decisions. The research conducted detailed and real multimedia classroom experiences from ten purposefully selected teachers, and described the multimedia impact on teachers' instructional engagement, students' professional identities, and the impact of multimedia use beyond what the policy states.

For this study, Braun and Clarke's (2023) Thematic Analysis was used to study the experiences of teachers systematically. The themes were analyzed using the TPACK model and Mayer's Cognitive Theory of Multimedia Learning (CTML), which helped to better understand how teachers integrate technology, pedagogy, and content when using multimedia. Overall, the study sought not only to record experiences but also to create a context-responsive In-Service Training (INSET) program.

The results revealed that the experiences of elementary teachers in integrating multimedia in teaching includes it makes teaching more engaging and learner-centered, supports understanding of concepts and improves retention, helps address diverse learning styles and accessibility, and teachers feel confident, fulfilled, and motivated, but mindful of technical risk.

\*\*\*\*\*

### Editorial Team

**Editor-in-Chief:** Alvin B. Punongbayan

**Associate Editor:** Andro M. Bautista

**Managing Editor:** Raymart O. Basco

**Web Editor:** Nikko C. Panotes

### Manuscript Editors / Reviewers:

Chin Wen Cong, Christopher DC. Francisco, Camille P. Alicaway, Pinky Jane A. Perez,  
Mary Jane B. Custodio, Irene H. Andino, Mark-Jhon R. Prestoza, Ma. Rhoda E. Panganiban, Rjay C. Calaguas,  
Mario A. Cudiamat, Jesson L. Hero, Albert Bulawat, Cris T. Zita, Allan M. Manaloto, Jerico N. Mendoza

\*\*\*\*\*



\*\*\*\*\*

The results of the in-depth interview with the participants revealed that the challenges experienced by elementary teachers in integrating multimedia in teaching include infrastructure and technical disruptions (internet, power, equipment), limited technical expertise and troubleshooting demands, time management and preparation burden, resource constraints, and access issues.

The results of the in-depth interview with the participants revealed that the coping strategies applied by teachers on the challenges experienced in integrating multimedia in teaching include preparedness through backup plans and alternative, preparation, testing, and downloading resources, skill building, collaboration, continuous learning, and aligning multimedia with objectives and keeping it simple.

## CONCLUSION

Considering the findings of the study, the following recommendations were made: schools are encouraged to improve infrastructural support, provide continual training of staff, technical support, and offer ongoing maintenance support to obtain the positive benefits associated with the teaching and learning of digital multimedia. Teachers' self-efficacy and the quality of instruction delivered can be improved by offering ongoing support and access to current resources. This is supported by UNESCO (2021), where the importance of digital transformation and equitable support to schools is documented.

In response to these obstacles, it is suggested that schools upgrade their infrastructure by providing stable internet, adequate devices, and consistent power supply, in addition to

\*\*\*\*\*

### Editorial Team

**Editor-in-Chief:** Alvin B. Punongbayan

**Associate Editor:** Andro M. Bautista

**Managing Editor:** Raymart O. Basco

**Web Editor:** Nikko C. Panotes

### Manuscript Editors / Reviewers:

Chin Wen Cong, Christopher DC. Francisco, Camille P. Alicaway, Pinky Jane A. Perez,  
Mary Jane B. Custodio, Irene H. Andino, Mark-Jhon R. Prestoza, Ma. Rhoda E. Panganiban, Rjay C. Calaguas,  
Mario A. Cudiamat, Jesson L. Hero, Albert Bulawat, Cris T. Zita, Allan M. Manaloto, Jerico N. Mendoza

\*\*\*\*\*



\*\*\*\*\*

offering targeted training to teachers on the use of these tools. Improved infrastructure and increased digital competencies will lead to higher integration outcomes, as predicted by the OECD (2021), which demonstrates that the better support is provided, the better outcomes are achieved with the integration of technology in education.

Schools are encouraged to establish and implement policies that will enable teachers to participate in professional development training related to the use and integration of multimedia and incorporate multimedia into their lesson plans. By enhancing the professional skills of educators, a learning ecosystem of 'multimedia integration into the lessons' will be created. This is in line with OECD (2021), which noted the need for ongoing development of teachers as a prerequisite for the successful digital transition in teaching and learning.

It is consistent with the work of UNESCO (2021), which highlights ongoing training of educators as a key factor in enhancing the quality of education and the outcomes for learners through continuous, cohesive professional development, which is tailored to the needs of the learners, the institution, and the community in which it is located.

Future researchers are encouraged to apply quantitative or mixed-method research methodologies to confirm and generalize the results of the qualitative research study. Additionally, they may examine the relationships among teacher characteristics, technology readiness, and effective multimedia integration, the levels of students' multimedia integration, and the outcomes of the proposed INSET program.

\*\*\*\*\*

### **Editorial Team**

**Editor-in-Chief:** Alvin B. Punongbayan

**Associate Editor:** Andro M. Bautista

**Managing Editor:** Raymart O. Basco

**Web Editor:** Nikko C. Panotes

### **Manuscript Editors / Reviewers:**

Chin Wen Cong, Christopher DC. Francisco, Camille P. Alicaway, Pinky Jane A. Perez,  
Mary Jane B. Custodio, Irene H. Andino, Mark-Jhon R. Prestoza, Ma. Rhoda E. Panganiban, Rjay C. Calaguas,  
Mario A. Cudiamat, Jesson L. Hero, Albert Bulawat, Cris T. Zita, Allan M. Manaloto, Jerico N. Mendoza

\*\*\*\*\*



## References

- Agayon, A. J. D., Agayon, A. K. R., & Pentang, J. T. (2022). Teachers in the new normal: Challenges and coping mechanisms in secondary schools. *Journal of Humanities and Education Development*, 4(1), 67–75. <https://doi.org/10.22161/jhed.4.1.8>
- Ajos, R. R., & Ramos, C. A. (2023). Assessing the implementation of the DepEd Computerization Program: Insights from teachers and administrators. *International Journal of Applied Research in Industrial Engineering*.
- Antonietti, A., & Giorgetti, M. (2006). Teachers' beliefs about learning from multimedia. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 22(2), 267–282. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2004.06.002>
- Arboiz, A. C., Carnicer, D. M., Masibay, J. M., & Sewane, S. N. C. (2024). The lived experiences of teachers on the utilization of animated videos in teaching elementary pupils. *Library Progress International*, 44(3). <https://doi.org/10.48165/bapas.2024.44.2.1>
- Aydoğmuş, M., & Ibrahim, M. (2022). Two approaches to investigate preservice teachers' TPACK competencies and self-regulated learning skills in Türkiye and the United States. *Journal of Computer and Education Research*, 10(20), 531–546. <https://doi.org/10.18009/jcer.1107419>
- Azimi, H. M., & Rahmani, R. (2013). Importance of needs assessment for implementation of e-learning in colleges of education. *International Journal of Information and Communication Technology*, 3(5), 377–382. <https://doi.org/10.18502/kss.v3i9.2686>

\*\*\*\*\*

### Editorial Team

**Editor-in-Chief:** Alvin B. Punongbayan

**Associate Editor:** Andro M. Bautista

**Managing Editor:** Raymart O. Basco

**Web Editor:** Nikko C. Panotes

### Manuscript Editors / Reviewers:

Chin Wen Cong, Christopher DC. Francisco, Camille P. Alicaway, Pinky Jane A. Perez,  
Mary Jane B. Custodio, Irene H. Andino, Mark-Jhon R. Prestoza, Ma. Rhoda E. Panganiban, Rjay C. Calaguas,  
Mario A. Cudiamat, Jesson L. Hero, Albert Bulawat, Cris T. Zita, Allan M. Manaloto, Jerico N. Mendoza

\*\*\*\*\*



\*\*\*\*\*

Balogun, S. E. (2024). A transcendental phenomenological study of multimedia-based pedagogy: Experiences of elementary school teachers in developing cognitively stimulating instruction (Doctoral dissertation). Liberty University. <https://digitalcommons.liberty.edu/doctoral/5405/>

Bećirović, S. (2024). Challenges and barriers for effective integration of technologies into teaching and learning. In *Digital Pedagogy* (pp. 123–133). SpringerBriefs in Education. [https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-981-99-0444-0\\_10](https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-981-99-0444-0_10)

Bhandari, P. (2022). *Quantitative research: Definition, methods, and examples*. Scribbr. <https://www.scribbr.com/methodology/quantitative-research/>

Biemel, W., & Spiegelberg, H. (2024). Phenomenology. *Encyclopedia Britannica*. <https://www.britannica.com/topic/phenomenology>

Bluestone, J., Johnson, P., Fullerton, J., Carr, C., Alderman, J., & BonTempo, J. (2013). Effective in-service training design and delivery: Evidence from an integrative literature review. *Human Resources for Health*, 11(51). <https://doi.org/10.1186/1478-4491-11-51>

Bordoh, A., Bassaw, T. K., Eshun, I., Kwarteng, P., & Awuah, M. (2024). Usage of multimedia resources in teaching social studies. *Universal Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities*, 4(2), 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.31586/ujssh.2024.1040>

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2023). *Thematic Analysis: A Step-by-Step Guide*. SAGE Publications.

\*\*\*\*\*

### Editorial Team

**Editor-in-Chief:** Alvin B. Punongbayan

**Associate Editor:** Andro M. Bautista

**Managing Editor:** Raymart O. Basco

**Web Editor:** Nikko C. Panotes

### Manuscript Editors / Reviewers:

Chin Wen Cong, Christopher DC. Francisco, Camille P. Alicaway, Pinky Jane A. Perez, Mary Jane B. Custodio, Irene H. Andino, Mark-Jhon R. Prestoza, Ma. Rhoda E. Panganiban, Rjay C. Calaguas, Mario A. Cudiamat, Jesson L. Hero, Albert Bulawat, Cris T. Zita, Allan M. Manaloto, Jerico N. Mendoza

\*\*\*\*\*



\*\*\*\*\*

Cabansag, M. O. (2025). Teachers' competency of ICT integration in teaching elementary teachers in Flora District. *Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies*, 51(2), 281–293. <https://doi.org/10.9734/ajess/2025/v51i21785>

Caratiquit, K. D., & Caratiquit, L. J. C. (2022). Uncovering teacher's situation amidst the pandemic: Teacher's coping mechanisms, initiatives, constraints, and challenges encountered. *International Journal of Social Sciences and Education Research*, 8(3), 288–298. <https://doi.org/10.24289/ijsser.1103698>

Creswell, J. W., & Creswell, J. D. (2022). *Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches* (6th ed.). SAGE Publications.

Department of Education. (2008). DepEd Memorandum No. 274, s. 2008: Consultation workshop on the DepEd ICT4E strategic plan. [https://depedwebsitestorage.blob.core.windows.net/upload/2018/10/DM\\_s2008\\_274.pdf](https://depedwebsitestorage.blob.core.windows.net/upload/2018/10/DM_s2008_274.pdf)

DepEd Bataan—Alboro, R. M. (2024). The DepEd Computerization Program: Milestones to a future-proof technology in Philippine education. <https://depedbataan.com/wp-content/uploads/2024/11/THE-DEPED-COMPUTERIZATION-PROGRAM-MILESTONES-TO-A-FUTURE-PROOF-TECHNOLOGY-IN-PHILIPPINE-EDUCATION.pdf>

Drajati, N. A., So, H.-J., Rakerda, H., Ilmi, M., & Sulistyawati, H. (2023). Exploring the impact of TPACK-based teacher professional development (TPD) program on EFL teachers' TPACK confidence and beliefs. *The Journal of Asia TEFL*, 20(2), 300–315. <https://doi.org/10.18823/asiatefl.2023.20.2.5.300>

\*\*\*\*\*

## Editorial Team

**Editor-in-Chief:** Alvin B. Punongbayan

**Associate Editor:** Andro M. Bautista

**Managing Editor:** Raymart O. Basco

**Web Editor:** Nikko C. Panotes

### Manuscript Editors / Reviewers:

Chin Wen Cong, Christopher DC. Francisco, Camille P. Alicaway, Pinky Jane A. Perez, Mary Jane B. Custodio, Irene H. Andino, Mark-Jhon R. Prestoza, Ma. Rhoda E. Panganiban, Rjay C. Calaguas, Mario A. Cudiamat, Jesson L. Hero, Albert Bulawat, Cris T. Zita, Allan M. Manaloto, Jerico N. Mendoza

\*\*\*\*\*



\*\*\*\*\*

Elliott, J. (2025). Everyday Voices as Big Data: A Call for the Secondary Analysis of Large-

Scale Qualitative Interview Data. Sociology.

<https://doi.org/10.1177/00380385251344472>

Evangelista, J. T., Bermudo, P. J. V., & Cordial, J. F. (2025). Technological knowledge, integration practices, and challenges of primary school teachers in Zone III, Division of Catanduanes: Insights from the TPACK framework. *Pedagogy Review*, 6(1), 101–115.

Francom, G. M. (2020). Barriers to technology integration: A time-series survey study. *Journal of Research on Technology in Education*, 52(1), 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15391523.2019.1679055>

Gomez, F. W., Sardido, J. M., Añabieza, D. M. A., Lapad, C. J. D., Ke-e, T. S. M., & Orias, R. G. L. (2025). Multimedia-based instruction in Araling Panlipunan: A phenomenological lived experience. *Global Scientific Journal*, 13(4). [https://www.globalscientificjournal.com/researchpaper/MULTIMEDIA\\_BASED\\_INSTRUCTION\\_IN\\_ARALING\\_PANLIPUNAN\\_A\\_PHENOMENOLOGICAL\\_LIVED\\_EXPERIENCE.pdf](https://www.globalscientificjournal.com/researchpaper/MULTIMEDIA_BASED_INSTRUCTION_IN_ARALING_PANLIPUNAN_A_PHENOMENOLOGICAL_LIVED_EXPERIENCE.pdf)

Guerrero-Sosa, J. D. T., Romero, F. P., Menéndez-Domínguez, V. H., Serrano-Guerrero, J., Montoro-Montarroso, A., & Olivas, J. A. (2025). A comprehensive review of multimodal analysis in education. *Applied Sciences*, 15(11), 5896. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app15115896>

\*\*\*\*\*

### Editorial Team

**Editor-in-Chief:** Alvin B. Punongbayan

**Associate Editor:** Andro M. Bautista

**Managing Editor:** Raymart O. Basco

**Web Editor:** Nikko C. Panotes

### Manuscript Editors / Reviewers:

Chin Wen Cong, Christopher DC. Francisco, Camille P. Alicaway, Pinky Jane A. Perez, Mary Jane B. Custodio, Irene H. Andino, Mark-Jhon R. Prestoza, Ma. Rhoda E. Panganiban, Rjay C. Calaguas, Mario A. Cudiamat, Jesson L. Hero, Albert Bulawat, Cris T. Zita, Allan M. Manaloto, Jerico N. Mendoza

\*\*\*\*\*



\*\*\*\*\*

Hadi, W., Yuksafa, R., Yarmi, G., Safitri, D., Lestari, I., Suntari, Y., Umasih, Marini, A.,

Sudrajat, A., & Iskandar, R. (2022). Enhancement of students' learning outcomes through interactive multimedia. *International Journal of Interactive Mobile Technologies (IJIM)*, 16(07), 82–98. <https://doi.org/10.3991/ijim.v16i07.25825>

Haji, J. R., Shiyo, R. J., & Mrutu, N. E. (2023). Exploring effective ICT integration strategies in education: A case of two public primary schools in Mombasa, Kenya. *Journal of Education and Practice*, 7(7), 15–29. <https://doi.org/10.47941/jep.1526>

Harris, K., Sithole, A., & Kibirige, J. (2017). A needs assessment for the adoption of Next Generation Science Standards (NGSS) in K–12 education. *Journal of Education and Training Studies*, 5(9), 54–62. <https://doi.org/10.11114/jets.v5i9.2576>

Heinrich, C. J., Darling-Aduana, J., & Martin, C. (2020). The potential and prerequisites of effective tablet integration in rural Kenya. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 51(2), 498–514. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.12870>

Hsu, S., & Kuan, P.-Y. (2013). The impact of multilevel factors on technology integration: The case of Taiwanese grade 1–9 teachers and schools. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 61(1), 25–50. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-012-9269-y>

Jiménez Sierra, Á., Ortega Iglesias, J., & Palacios-Rodríguez, A. (2024). Diagnosis of TPACK in elementary school teachers: A case study in the Colombian Caribbean. *Education Sciences*, 14(9), 1013.

Johannes, D., & Hashim, H. (2023). Investigating multimedia integration among ESL teachers in teaching reading comprehension: A systematic literature review. *International*

\*\*\*\*\*

## Editorial Team

**Editor-in-Chief:** Alvin B. Punongbayan

**Associate Editor:** Andro M. Bautista

**Managing Editor:** Raymart O. Basco

**Web Editor:** Nikko C. Panotes

## Manuscript Editors / Reviewers:

Chin Wen Cong, Christopher DC. Francisco, Camille P. Alicaway, Pinky Jane A. Perez,  
Mary Jane B. Custodio, Irene H. Andino, Mark-Jhon R. Prestoza, Ma. Rhoda E. Panganiban, Rjay C. Calaguas,  
Mario A. Cudiamat, Jesson L. Hero, Albert Bulawat, Cris T. Zita, Allan M. Manaloto, Jerico N. Mendoza

\*\*\*\*\*

# INSTABRIGHT e-GAZETTE

ISSN: 2704-3010

Volume VII, Issue III

February 2026

Available online at <https://www.instabrightgazette.com>



\*\*\*\*\*

Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences, 13(12), 3808–3823.

<https://doi.org/10.6007/ijarbss/v13-i12/20229>

Kärner, T., Sembill, D., Muslic, B., Friederichs, E., & Carstensen, B. (2021). A social perspective on resilience: Social support and dyadic coping in teacher training. *Empirical Research in Vocational Education and Training*, 13(1), Article 24. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40461-021-00126-y>

Klein, J. D., Brinkerhoff, J., Koroghlanian, C., Brewer, S., Ku, H., & MacPherson-Coy, A. (2000). The foundations of educational technology: A needs assessment. *TechTrends*, 44, 32–36. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02763314>

Komala, I., Wardani, Y. A., Khairiyah, A., & Arifin, B. (2025). Resource constraints: Teachers' challenges in implementing digital curriculum in remote areas. *Journal of Social Science and Education Research*, 2(3), 152–162. <https://doi.org/10.59613/wjprmx85>

Lachner, A., Backfisch, I., & Franke, U. (2024). Towards an integrated perspective of teachers' technology integration: A preliminary model and future research directions. *Frontline Learning Research*, 12(1), 1–15. <https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ1416439.pdf>

Loglo, F. S., & Zawacki-Richter, O. (2023). Learning with digital media: A systematic review of students' use in African higher education. *Journal of Learning for Development*, 10(1), 1–23. <https://doi.org/10.56059/jl4d.v10i1.857>

Macwan, A., & Dennen, V. P. (2025). Exploring factors influencing teacher readiness and perceptions towards digital learning technology: A systematic literature review.

\*\*\*\*\*

## Editorial Team

**Editor-in-Chief:** Alvin B. Punongbayan

**Associate Editor:** Andro M. Bautista

**Managing Editor:** Raymart O. Basco

**Web Editor:** Nikko C. Panotes

## Manuscript Editors / Reviewers:

Chin Wen Cong, Christopher DC. Francisco, Camille P. Alicaway, Pinky Jane A. Perez,  
Mary Jane B. Custodio, Irene H. Andino, Mark-Jhon R. Prestoza, Ma. Rhoda E. Panganiban, Rjay C. Calaguas,  
Mario A. Cudiamat, Jesson L. Hero, Albert Bulawat, Cris T. Zita, Allan M. Manaloto, Jerico N. Mendoza

\*\*\*\*\*



\*\*\*\*\*

International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research, 7(6), Article 59978.

<https://doi.org/10.36948/ijfmr.2025.v07i06.59978>

Mane, M. S. B. (2025). Teachers' readiness and the integration of technology in teaching.

International Journal of Multidisciplinary and Current Educational Research, 7(3), 260–

290.\*

[https://www.ijmcer.com/wp-](https://www.ijmcer.com/wp-content/uploads/2025/05/IJM CER_O0730260290.pdf)

[content/uploads/2025/05/IJM CER\\_O0730260290.pdf](https://www.ijmcer.com/wp-content/uploads/2025/05/IJM CER_O0730260290.pdf)

Msambwa, M. M., Daniel, K., & Cai, L. (2024). Integration of information and communication

technology in secondary education for better learning: A systematic literature review.

Social Sciences & Humanities Open, 10, 101203.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssaho.2024.101203>

Mayer, R. E. (1997). Multimedia learning: Are we asking the right questions? Educational

Psychologist, 32(1), 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1511271>

Mayer, R. E. (2005). Principles for reducing extraneous processing in multimedia learning. In

R. E. Mayer (Ed.), *The Cambridge Handbook of Multimedia Learning* (pp. 183–200).

Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511816819.013>

Mishra, P., & Koehler, M. J. (2006). Technological pedagogical content knowledge: A

framework for teacher knowledge. *Teachers College Record*, 108(6), 1017–1054.

Moustakas, C. (1994). *Phenomenological research methods*. SAGE Publications.

Navas-Bonilla, C. R., Guerra-Arango, J. A., Oviedo-Guado, D. A., & Murillo-Noriega, D. E.

(2025). Inclusive education through technology: A systematic review of types, tools

\*\*\*\*\*

## Editorial Team

**Editor-in-Chief:** Alvin B. Punongbayan

**Associate Editor:** Andro M. Bautista

**Managing Editor:** Raymart O. Basco

**Web Editor:** Nikko C. Panotes

## Manuscript Editors / Reviewers:

Chin Wen Cong, Christopher DC. Francisco, Camille P. Alicaway, Pinky Jane A. Perez,  
Mary Jane B. Custodio, Irene H. Andino, Mark-Jhon R. Prestoza, Ma. Rhoda E. Panganiban, Rjay C. Calaguas,  
Mario A. Cudiamat, Jesson L. Hero, Albert Bulawat, Cris T. Zita, Allan M. Manaloto, Jerico N. Mendoza

\*\*\*\*\*



\*\*\*\*\*

and characteristics. *Frontiers in Education*, 10, Article 1527851.

<https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2025.1527851>

Nikolopoulou, K. (2023). Students' Mobile Phone Practices for Academic Purposes: Strengthening Post-Pandemic University Digitalization. *Sustainability*, 14(22), 14958.

<https://doi.org/10.3390/su142214958>

Ng, D. T. K., & Chu, S. K. W. (2021). Empowering teachers to teach AI through professional development. In S. K. W. Chu, R. B. Kozma, & C. W. Y. Ng (Eds.), *Preparing for the AI era: Higher education and the world of work* (pp. 233–253). Springer.

[https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-7579-2\\_13](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-7579-2_13)

Nowell, L. S., Norris, J. M., White, D. E., & Moules, N. J. (2021). Thematic analysis: Striving to meet the trustworthiness criteria. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, 20,

1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1609406921995300>

Nurhasanah, I., & Firdaus, M. (2025). Unlocking better reading skills: How multimedia and interactive tools make a difference. *ALACRITY: Journal of Education*, 5(2), 162–171.

<https://doi.org/10.52121/alacrity.v5i2.782>

O'Sullivan, M. C. (2000). Needs assessment for INSET for unqualified primary teachers in Namibia: An effective model. *Compare*, 30(2), 211–234.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/713657454>

Pappa, C. I., Georgiou, D., & Pittich, D. (2024). Technology education in primary schools: Addressing teachers' perceptions, perceived barriers, and needs. *International Journal of Technology and Design Education*, 34(2), 485–503.

\*\*\*\*\*

### Editorial Team

**Editor-in-Chief:** Alvin B. Punongbayan

**Associate Editor:** Andro M. Bautista

**Managing Editor:** Raymart O. Basco

**Web Editor:** Nikko C. Panotes

### Manuscript Editors / Reviewers:

Chin Wen Cong, Christopher DC. Francisco, Camille P. Alicaway, Pinky Jane A. Perez,  
Mary Jane B. Custodio, Irene H. Andino, Mark-Jhon R. Prestoza, Ma. Rhoda E. Panganiban, Rjay C. Calaguas,  
Mario A. Cudiamat, Jesson L. Hero, Albert Bulawat, Cris T. Zita, Allan M. Manaloto, Jerico N. Mendoza

\*\*\*\*\*



\*\*\*\*\*

Purnomo, R. (2024). Utilization of digital technology in the learning process in elementary schools: A literature review of challenges and opportunities for teachers. *Ludi Litterarri*, 1(2), 17–25. <https://doi.org/10.62872/9ztfw245>

Quintana, R. M., Fortman, J., & DeVaney, J. (2021). Advancing an approach of resilient design for learning. In *Resilient Pedagogy* (pp. 77–92). Utah State University. <https://doi.org/10.26079/a516-fb24>

Rahayu, A. H., Widodo, A., Saud, U. S., & Muslim. (2024). Improving technological pedagogical content knowledge (TPACK) of elementary school teachers. *Mimbar Sekolah Dasar*, 11(4), 642–658.

Reinhold, F., Strohmaier, A., Finger-Collazos, Z., & Reiss, K. (2021). Considering teachers' beliefs, motivation, and emotions regarding teaching mathematics with digital tools: The effect of an in-service teacher training. *Frontiers in Education*, 6, 723869. <https://doi.org/10.3389/educ.2021.723869>

Rodriguez-Segura, D. (2022). EdTech in developing countries: A review of the evidence. *The World Bank Research Observer*, 37(2), 171–203. <https://doi.org/10.1093/wbro/lkab011>

Rogador, K. A., & Bola, I. P. (2025). Teachers' challenges and strategies on technology integration among elementary schools of San Miguel 1 District, Philippines. ICC EPH. <https://icceph.com/wp-content/uploads/2025/10/TECHNOLOGY-INTEGRATION-SAN-MIGUEL.pdf>

\*\*\*\*\*

## Editorial Team

**Editor-in-Chief:** Alvin B. Punongbayan

**Associate Editor:** Andro M. Bautista

**Managing Editor:** Raymart O. Basco

**Web Editor:** Nikko C. Panotes

### Manuscript Editors / Reviewers:

Chin Wen Cong, Christopher DC. Francisco, Camille P. Alicaway, Pinky Jane A. Perez, Mary Jane B. Custodio, Irene H. Andino, Mark-Jhon R. Prestoza, Ma. Rhoda E. Panganiban, Rjay C. Calaguas, Mario A. Cudiamat, Jesson L. Hero, Albert Bulawat, Cris T. Zita, Allan M. Manaloto, Jerico N. Mendoza

\*\*\*\*\*



\*\*\*\*\*

Sankey, M., Birch, D., & Gardiner, M. (2010). Engaging students through multimodal learning environments. In ASCILITE 2010 Conference Proceedings (pp. 852–863). <https://doi.org/10.14742/apubs.2010.2003>

Schrand, T. (2008). Tapping into active learning and multiple intelligences with interactive multimedia. *College Teaching*, 56(2), 78–84. <https://doi.org/10.3200/CTCH.56.2.78-84>

Schnackenberg, H. L., Luik, K., Nisan, Y. C., & Servant, C. (2001). A case study of needs assessment in teacher in-service development. *Educational Research and Evaluation*, 7(2–3), 137–160. <https://doi.org/10.1076/edre.7.2.137.3865>

Selialia, M., & Kurata, L. (2023). Practical challenges of integrating technology within Lesotho’s secondary geography education: A conceptual analysis. *International Journal of Science and Research Archive*, 10(2), 1016–1022. <https://doi.org/10.30574/ijrsra.2023.10.2.1079>

Singh, A., & Martolia, M. (2024). Digital tools and inclusive growth in higher education: An empirical study aligned with SDG 4. *ShodhKosh: Journal of Visual and Performing Arts*, 5(3), 1622–1634. <https://doi.org/10.29121/shodhkosh.v5.i3.2024.4919>

Siyam, Y., Siyam, N., Hussain, M., & Alqaryouti, O. (2025). Evaluating technology integration in education: A framework for professional development. *Discover Education*, 4, Article 53.

Socio.health. (2024). Interview Schedule. <https://socio.health/research-methodology-population-family-health/interview-schedules-collect-detailed-data/>

\*\*\*\*\*

### Editorial Team

**Editor-in-Chief:** Alvin B. Punongbayan

**Associate Editor:** Andro M. Bautista

**Managing Editor:** Raymart O. Basco

**Web Editor:** Nikko C. Panotes

### Manuscript Editors / Reviewers:

Chin Wen Cong, Christopher DC. Francisco, Camille P. Alicaway, Pinky Jane A. Perez, Mary Jane B. Custodio, Irene H. Andino, Mark-Jhon R. Prestoza, Ma. Rhoda E. Panganiban, Rjay C. Calaguas, Mario A. Cudiamat, Jesson L. Hero, Albert Bulawat, Cris T. Zita, Allan M. Manaloto, Jerico N. Mendoza

\*\*\*\*\*



\*\*\*\*\*

Soqueña, A. C. (2021). Computer Self-Efficacy, IT Competency and Teaching Effectiveness.

Turkish Online Journal of Qualitative Inquiry, 12(6), 5582–5600.

Spurlin, J. E. (2007). Using needs assessment as a holistic means for improving technology infrastructure. ELI Paper 3. EDUCAUSE.

van Manen, M. (2016). Researching lived experience: Human science for an action-sensitive pedagogy (2nd ed.). Routledge.

Voogt, J., & Tondeur, J. (2015). Towards design-based approaches for ICT integration in African education. *Technology, Pedagogy and Education*, 24(5), 527–537.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/1475939X.2015.1099564>

Wang, G. P. (2023). Maximizing the potential of multimedia in Indonesia. *Journal of Appropriate Technology*, 9(3), 235–245. <https://doi.org/10.37675/jat.2023.00409>

Ye, X. (2024). The application of multimedia teaching in primary school classroom. *Transactions on Social Science, Education and Humanities Research*, 5, 334–339.  
<https://doi.org/10.62051/4w3zng66>

Zunica, B. (2023). Technology everywhere! But who's got the time? *Discover Education*, 2(1), Article 45. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s44217-023-00071-w>

\*\*\*\*\*

### Editorial Team

**Editor-in-Chief:** Alvin B. Punongbayan

**Associate Editor:** Andro M. Bautista

**Managing Editor:** Raymart O. Basco

**Web Editor:** Nikko C. Panotes

### Manuscript Editors / Reviewers:

Chin Wen Cong, Christopher DC. Francisco, Camille P. Alicaway, Pinky Jane A. Perez,  
Mary Jane B. Custodio, Irene H. Andino, Mark-Jhon R. Prestoza, Ma. Rhoda E. Panganiban, Rjay C. Calaguas,  
Mario A. Cudiamat, Jesson L. Hero, Albert Bulawat, Cris T. Zita, Allan M. Manaloto, Jerico N. Mendoza

\*\*\*\*\*